

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/799,860 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) PRAKASH, VIPUL V. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner Jeffrey R. Swearingen </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 2445 </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/799,860	Applicant(s) PRAKASH, VIPUL V.	Examiner Jeffrey R. Swearingen	Art Unit 2445
Application No. 10/799,860	Applicant(s) PRAKASH, VIPUL V.				
Examiner Jeffrey R. Swearingen	Art Unit 2445				

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey R. Swearingen.

(2) Peter Leal.

Date of Interview: 10 January 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant submitted the claim amendment in light of Bilski and Nuijten. The claim amendment is attached.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffrey R. Swearingen/
Examiner, Art Unit 2445

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)